Innovation in government? Conversations with Canada’s public service leaders
Executive summary

The Public Policy Forum and Deloitte launched this project with the shared belief that in a post-recession, post-stimulus investment period, governments across the world will face resource challenges demanding innovative responses. We hold the view that innovation in government offers real promise to address many of these emerging challenges.

What we did…

We engaged nearly 100 public sector leaders in one-on-one conversations about the challenges facing Canada’s public service, the measures being undertaken to innovate, and the obstacles to change. We interviewed public service leaders in every jurisdiction in Canada at the federal, provincial, territorial and municipalities levels. We also included some external views from academics and others with senior public service experience.

What we learned…

1. The current public debate fails to set the stage for real reforms. New ideas are needed but political direction is required for successful innovation to occur.
2. Public service leaders expressed concerns that innovation is being limited to operations at a time when policy reforms are also needed.
3. The level of public service innovation in Canada appears low and disconnected. A defined innovation process and strategic approach is required.
4. Innovation is being pursued without substantive collaboration or sharing of information across government bodies.
5. The capacity to execute an innovation agenda needs to be strengthened. New skills and talent are required.

What should change…

1. More forums and venues for senior public service leaders to be open and frank about the challenges facing government and why innovation is needed.
2. Increased recognition of the need for public service innovation to address the full continuum of policy formulation and execution.
3. Put ‘innovation as strategy’ into long-term planning. Greater executive oversight is needed to drive innovation.
4. More sharing and replication of innovation is required across jurisdictions and levels of government.
5. Increased priority on attracting and developing innovation leaders at all levels across the public service.

This project was intended to assess the state of innovation in government in Canada and represents the first in a series of projects by the Public Policy Forum, Deloitte and other organizations interested in advancing public service and governance.
Overview

Public service leaders across Canada, and around the globe, are facing unprecedented challenges. The financial meltdown and subsequent stimulus spending have placed financial straitjackets on many jurisdictions. Yet, aging populations, global uncertainty, and new developments in social media contribute to growing demands on our governments to respond swiftly and more creatively to complex challenges.

While Canada has fared better than many jurisdictions, we are not immune to the serious challenges that threaten the sustainability of many government programs – escalating health care costs, rising debt levels, and lagging productivity, to name a few.

The Public Policy Forum and Deloitte launched this project with the belief that innovation in government holds the promise to address many of these emerging public service challenges.

Innovation is defined as a commitment by governments to recognize and act upon new ideas, new operating methods and new ways of delivering services. It also includes finding new ways to maximize resources by engaging with the public, taking new risks and harnessing new technologies.
Our method

We wanted to gauge the state of public service innovation in Canada. Specifically, we sought to determine how innovation was viewed as a possible solution to the challenges governments face. We wanted to identify what innovations might be available to public service leaders. Finally, we sought to understand the barriers that stood in the way of successful public service innovation.

Within this context, the Public Policy Forum and Deloitte set out to engage nearly 100 public sector leaders in one-on-one conversations about the challenges facing Canada’s public service, the measures being undertaken to innovate, and the obstacles to change.

While leadership happens at all levels in any organization, our conversations were focused at the upper echelons of the public service – cabinet secretaries, clerks, deputy ministers and city managers. This is where the critical interface between policy and management takes place. We were particularly interested in learning and sharing the insights from those entrusted with translating policy into action, and with achieving the difficult balance between promoting innovation and managing risk.

Participants hailed from every province and territory across Canada and from all levels of government. We interviewed public service leaders at the federal, provincial, territorial and municipals levels. We also included some external views from academics and others with senior public service experience.

Some were new to their roles and others possessed extensive experience across several functional areas and levels of government. We spoke to leaders from a cross-section of departments and ministries.

To encourage open and frank discussions, the Chatham House Rule was applied. Nothing that was said in these interviews is attributed to an individual or a jurisdiction.

What was said (and not said) may surprise you.

Interview process

The interview guide used in the interview process focused on the four areas below. The frameworks are presented in Appendix A.

1. Innovation context
   To understand the context for innovation we asked about the emerging managerial environment that Canada’s senior public service leaders expected to face over the next 3-5 years.

2. Innovation opportunities
   Based on the ‘size-up’ provided above, participants identified the innovation areas or themes that had the greatest potential. Nine thematic areas of innovation were presented.

3. Innovation barriers
   We asked participants to reflect on the barriers and other impediments to driving innovation and change across the broader public sector. Three general categories were provided:
   • Political leadership
   • Public acceptability
   • Public service capacity

4. Innovation examples
   We asked Canada’s public service leaders to offer examples of innovation from their jurisdiction or elsewhere.
What we learned

New ideas needed:
What role for the Public Service?
Over and over, public service leaders expressed frustration at the disconnect between the level of public discourse that is taking place and the magnitude of the challenges we face as a country. The failure to adequately and completely frame the true nature of the pressures we are under is seen as limiting the ability of leaders to move forward with the innovations they feel are necessary and achievable.

Many interviewees identified the need for an important policy discussion and full engagement of the public regarding the mandate of government. Many acknowledged the relative ease of program and service expansion but the great difficulty in program contraction or rationalization.

Innovation in government must reflect the changing nature and make-up of services and programs. While public service leaders strongly want to be part of these deliberations it was suggested that this would need to be led at the political level.

Without elected leaders initiating the necessary charge for change, public service leaders feel unable to take on the sacred cows that inhibit reforms. They lament the lack of a frank public discussion of lightning rod issues such as the sustainability of our health care system, the effectiveness of our social assistance programs, and our productivity gap. As a consequence, public service leaders find it difficult to garner the political and public support required for meaningful public sector innovation.

There is recognition, however, that more can be done to state the case for change and build the foundation for innovation. Many public service leaders described the difficulty of presenting plans for innovation in ways that their ministers and cabinet tables could easily accept. There is a widely held view that finding the right balance between transformative aspirations and manageable game plans is a key factor in preparing the political level for the kind of public debate that is needed to launch meaningful innovation in government.

But what is the role for public service leadership in the debate? Most have grown up in a system that expects anonymity for public servants and many are comfortable operating behind the scenes. There are some, however, who would be prepared to engage in a broader discussion, if presented with appropriate forums – something we feel is well worth exploring.

Meanwhile, the public service quietly presses on, applying marginal, across the board, cost-containment; tinkering at the edges of unsustainable programs; waiting for direction on the really big issues. The perceived political intolerance for risk, mistakes, or public backlash has become so high that truly innovative ideas are less likely to be presented or pursued.
Caught between two roles: Lead policy advisor or chief executive?
The 24/7, social media age is changing the role of senior public service leaders. We sensed a change in their strategic approach. While the focus on near-term results, such as emergency room wait times and average test scores, is making public service leaders attuned to service delivery, strategic challenges in our economy and competitiveness do not receive adequate attention. These bigger challenges cannot be addressed with internet speed, and therefore receive less political attention. Besides, most governments come into power with very clear platforms, focused on a fixed list of policy priorities. Increasingly, new governments expect the public service to implement a pre-sold agenda, not present new policy alternatives.

Some public service leaders look back fondly to the days when large policy shops could study big issues and design robust programs to address societal needs over a longer time horizon. But those days are gone. Some public service leaders commented that in today’s world, the permanent public service is no longer the primary source of policy advice for ministers. In fact, newly elected governments increasingly come into office with a healthy skepticism of those who are there to serve.

We heard how many senior executives spend much of their time fighting the political brush fires that, fanned by the power of the internet and instant communications, are constantly at risk of blowing out of control. Meanwhile, political staffers, special interest groups and lobbyists gain greater influence over a policy agenda that is focused on the here and now.

According to the executives we spoke with, the demand for long-term policy setting has almost disappeared. As a result, the organizational capacity for policy analysis has been eroded and the analytic tools being used are outdated. Many are assessing what this could mean for their role as policy advisors. If policy is now developed at the political level, in consultation with individuals and organizations outside of government, what is the role of the public service? In the future, will the deputy head role be more focused on operational excellence, more akin to a chief operating officer?

“Long-term planning...much of my job is keeping the lids on the pots. A good day is if there’s no issue that blows up in my face and I have to spend the balance of the day briefing the Minister.”

We sensed a desire to cultivate a new breed of policy makers – professionals more adept at data analytics, stakeholder engagement, modern risk management, working across boundaries, and crafting policies with a greater chance of successful implementation.

Therefore, public service leaders are faced with the dual challenge of making the case for modernizing the policy function and improving the effectiveness of service delivery and implementation. The challenges being faced by most jurisdictions in Canada dictate that improvements inside government are required on both dimensions, while recognizing the need for increased participation by other stakeholders in policy and delivery.
An innovation strategy: Are we focused on the right things?
Public service leaders expressed concerns about whether the basket of innovations being pursued is the right size and shape. Large, complex organizations don’t stand still. Most leaders we spoke with were able to point to areas where innovation is happening. But, many public service leaders are struggling with separating the wheat from the chaff. They had difficulty distinguishing administrative improvements from more substantive innovation initiatives and determining the type of support needed for implementation.

Often, the innovations that they cited were viewed as being too narrow and disconnected from each other to have a meaningful impact. To be sure, there are projects at the other extreme — so grand as to shake executive confidence in whether they will succeed. Most do not have a strategy for innovation or a blueprint for change. We were struck by the absence of enterprise-wide strategies and action plans for innovation.

Doing more together: What are others doing?
While governments across Canada invest significantly in pan-Canadian consultations, public service executives acknowledge that there is relatively little actual replication of innovations across boundaries, whether within or across jurisdictions.

Public service leaders tend to pursue innovations without substantive collaboration with others who may be attempting to overcome similar challenges. This leaves some to believe they are navigating uncharted waters. In fact, our discussions revealed that many of the challenges they face are the same, both in substance and in process. Yet, these leaders are operating largely in isolation from each other. As a result, the potential advantages of replicating innovations across our cash strapped governments are not being pursued.

There certainly is a growing awareness of the availability of Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) and other solutions and their applicability to governments at all levels. But, very few executives could point to where their organizations were actively collaborating with other government bodies to reduce the costs of innovation or to increase the return on investment.

Generally, public service leaders agree on the need for more sharing of innovations across governments, especially in times of restraint. While many mused about partnering with other levels of government and even other jurisdictions, there was little evidence of actual collaboration.

The ‘not invented here syndrome’ is alive and well in governments across Canada.Executives appear to be a long way from changing this culture or introducing mechanisms to ensure their organizations are not reinventing the wheel.

There is an irresistible opportunity for an inter-jurisdictional network that supports the exchange of best practices, processes and innovations for public service organizations. Governments at all levels and regions need to actively consider more collaborative strategies.

While there was great enthusiasm and interest in the topic of public service innovation across all levels of government, there was a general lack of major activity in the area. It appears that there is much more potential for government innovation in Canada.

This is not to say that leaders are not proud of the innovation they believe to be underway in their organizations. Rather, they acknowledge a limited understanding of the true nature of how much is being invested, where it is being invested, and to what end.

Given the importance that visible leadership plays, there is a need for public service leaders to become more engaged. Setting the strategy for innovation; leading investment allocation decision-making; assessing progress; and removing obstacles are all things that require executive level engagement. The uncertainty being expressed about whether the right things are being done appears to stem in large part from the absence, in many organizations, of a defined innovation process that effectively engages the executive level of the public service.
T. S. Eliot said “Always remember you’re unique, just like everyone else.” There appeared to be an assumption that public service innovation needs to be uniquely designed for a particular jurisdiction. The “not built here” syndrome was not the only issue; there was a sense that duplicating a successful innovation from a neighboring jurisdiction did not have the same cachet as pioneering an innovation.

**Capacity building:**

**Who leads innovation?**

Public sector executives expressed concerns about the capacity of their organizations to make innovative changes on a large scale. While important innovations are sprinkled throughout most organizations, transformative changes are reported to be much more difficult to launch and sustain.

A critical constraint is leadership capacity. Simply put, there are relatively few senior management and executive level leaders in the public service with deep experience in leading successful transformation projects for Deputies to call on to take charge of innovation strategies.

This shortage of qualified change leaders stems from a number of factors. Lack of public service executive development programs focused on transformation or innovation leadership; the tendency to rotate senior managers out of project management roles before success has been achieved; a limited number of innovative projects to lead; and, a reliance on external consultants to assume project management roles. All of these contribute to the dearth of senior managers and executives with proven skills in implementing large-scale innovation.

Furthermore, there were very few cases where executives could point to rotation or exchange of senior managers between agencies or across jurisdictions as a means of developing transformational leaders.

Furthermore, public service leaders pointed to a limited success rate in bringing in executives from outside the public service to lead transformations. While there are successes to point to, in general private sector leaders can be difficult to attract into the public sector and are often challenged to adapt to the higher degree of ambiguity they experience when working in a public sector environment. Furthermore, there is very limited opportunity for public sector leaders to gain experience through assignments or interchanges with private sector firms.
What should change?

The good news is there is a lot of scope for innovation, at a time when we need it most. The bad news is that our most senior public service leadership is not as focused on this topic as we had expected. Fortunately, there is only upside from attempting to do more. Here is what we think needs to change.

1. There should be more forums and venues for senior public service leaders to speak frankly about the challenges governments are facing and where innovation is needed. These should involve cross-governmental forums, and include sharing between the public, private and social sectors.
   
   More open dialogue about the challenges facing our public services and why reforms are needed.

2. There should be increased recognition of the heightened emphasis on execution that is expected from senior public service leaders and the importance of operational excellence through innovation. As governments increasingly come to power with formal policy platforms, and look to a wide range of stakeholders for policy input, the role of the senior public servant is evolving to one of policy execution. This is not to suggest that assessing the impacts of policy proposals and offering policy alternatives is no longer a critical component of the Deputy’s job. This has implications for the qualifications and professional development experiences expected of future public service leaders.
   
   Recognition of the need for innovation in policy and delivery.

3. Governments at all levels need to incorporate ‘innovation as strategy’ into medium and long-term planning. Governments need to address more ‘new ideas’ that will shape the future capacity to deliver high quality programs and services. Public service innovation holds real promise for those governments willing to confront these challenges. Public service leaders should introduce more formal processes to enable executive level oversight of innovation investments and tracking of results. Innovation program management policies and practices driven from the executive level would provide greater confidence in the innovation strategies that are being pursued.
   
   Innovation embraced as a strategic imperative for governments at all levels.

4. Intergovernmental forums and networks should be created to focus specifically on the identification of successful innovations that hold potential for replication elsewhere. Strategic partnerships across government would allow greater opportunity for leveraging the investments that are made in innovation.
   
   Doing more together.

5. Greater emphasis and investment should be placed on attracting and developing the leadership talent required to increase innovation in government. This could include programs for developing transformational project leaders and greater interchange between the public and private sectors to expand the capacity of government to innovate.
   
   New skills and talent.
The way forward

Public service leaders around the globe are facing unprecedented challenges. The need for innovation has never been greater and the time for action is now. Canada has earned an enviable reputation as a model for public service excellence. There are many examples of public service innovation across our country. However, the stresses on our public services are mounting. Leaders recognize that continual innovation is needed to adapt to changing times.

But, there are hurdles that must be overcome. More open dialogue on the need for change; reforms to policy and administration; embracing public service innovation as a core part of strategy; sharing best practices and developing next practices; and investing in transformational leaders are some of the ways that improvements can be made.

This report is intended to contribute to the case for even greater innovation in public services and provide an action plan for moving forward.
Appendix A: Innovation frameworks

Context for innovation
- Growing financial concerns
- Increasing debt and deficits
- Constrained capital spending
- Restrictions on hiring
- Increasing demand from the public
- Pressure to reduce the size of government
- Greater pressures from interest groups

Barriers to innovation
Political leadership
- Awareness of the requirement for change
- Willingness to support change
- Governing political party congruence
- Opposition party(s) congruence
- Election cycle dynamics

Public acceptability
- Lack of public awareness
- Need for public engagement
- Need to educate the public
- Stakeholder resistance
- Negative media coverage

Public service capacity
- Lack of experienced change leaders
- Cultural resistance
- Insufficient or under investment
- Labour agreements / relations
- Challenges partnering with others

Opportunities for innovation
Role of the state/citizen
Government mandate, shifting responsibilities, citizen-centered models

Governance/organization
New forms of organization, new governance arrangements, partnering, collaborations, NGOs

Policy/strategy
Legislative changes, citizen choice, best practice, policy reform

Process/procedure
Regulatory reform, internal processes, operating procedures, risk-based permitting

Structure/design
Program design, regional structures, shared services, outsourcing

People/HR
Performance management, contracting, workforce redesign, skills development, PS renewal

Operations management/service delivery
Logistics, LEAN strategies, program improvements, quality assurance, procurement

Technology/systems
High-tech & low-tech, telecom, info management, enterprise solutions, case management

Financing
P3s, government bonds, cost-sharing, revenue-sharing, capital financing

2. For additional information on the interview process see the public service innovation link at www.ppforum.ca
Appendix B: List of interviewees

Reg Alcock
Executive-in-Residence
Asper School of Business
University of Manitoba

Wayne Anstey
Acting City Manager
Halifax Regional Municipality

Bill Baker
Deputy Minister
Public Safety
Government of Canada

Penny Ballantyne
Deputy Minister of Executive Council and Secretary to Cabinet
Government of the Northwest Territories

Penny Ballem
City Manager
City of Vancouver

Rory Beck
Clerk of the Executive and Secretary to the Cabinet
Government of Prince Edward Island

Gilles Bernier
Former Deputy Minister
Ministère du Développement économique, de l’Innovation et de l’Exportation
Government of Quebec

Janice Charette
Deputy Minister
Human Resources and Skills Development
Government of Canada

John Clarkson
Deputy Minister
Innovation, Technology, Energy and Mines
Government of Manitoba

Doug Clow
Deputy Minister
Finance and Municipal Affairs
Government of Prince Edward Island

Murray Coolican
Deputy Minister
Energy
Government of Nova Scotia

Jacques Cotton
Deputy Minister
Ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux
Gouvernement du Québec

Claire Dansereau
Deputy Minister
Fisheries and Oceans
Government of Canada

Maria David-Evans
Deputy Minister
Aboriginal Relations
Government of Alberta

Glen Davies
City Manager
City of Regina

Kellmann Dean
Commissioner
Public Service Commission
Government of Nova Scotia

Tony Dean
Professor
School of Public Policy and Governance
University of Toronto

Ron Dedman
Deputy Minister
Government Services
Government of Saskatchewan

Gilles Demers
Former Deputy Minister
Développement économique, de l’Innovation et l’Exportation
Gouvernement du Québec

Cassie Doyle
Deputy Minister
Natural Resources
Government of Canada

Simon Farbrother
City Manager
City of Edmonton

Don Fast
Deputy Minister
Science and Universities
Government of British Columbia

David Ferguson
Clerk of the Executive Council and Secretary to the Cabinet
Government of New Brunswick

Judith Ferguson
Deputy Minister
Community Services
Government of Nova Scotia

Jeff Fielding
City Manager
City of London

Graham Flack
Associate Deputy Minister
Public Safety
Government of Canada

Patrick Francis
Deputy Minister
Aboriginal Affairs
Government of New Brunswick

Denis Garon
Secrétaire associé
Sous-secrétariat aux technologies de l’information et bureau du dirigeant principal de l’information
Ministère des services gouvernementaux
Gouvernement du Québec

Giles Gherson
Deputy Minister and Associate Secretary of Cabinet
Government of Ontario

Francois Guimont
Deputy Minister
Public Works and Government Services
Government of Canada

Sandra Hardy
Deputy Minister
Culture, Heritage and Tourism
Government of Manitoba

Vicky Harnish
Deputy Minister
Finance
Government of Nova Scotia

George Haynal
Vice President
Bombardier Inc.

Jean Houde
Former Deputy Minister of Finance
Government of Quebec

Bonny Hoyt-Hallett
Deputy Minister
Environment
Government of New Brunswick

James Hughes
Deputy Minister
Social Development
Government of New Brunswick

Byron James
Deputy Minister
Post-Secondary Education, Training and Labour
Government of New Brunswick

Shelly Jamieson
Secretary of the Cabinet and Clerk of the Executive Council
Government of Ontario

David Johnstone
Deputy Minister
Transportation
Government of New Brunswick

Greg Keefe
Deputy Minister to the Premier and Clerk of the Executive Council
Government of Nova Scotia

John Kershaw
Deputy Minister
Education
Government of New Brunswick

Robert Lapper
Deputy Minister
Labour
Government of British Columbia

Judith Larocque
Deputy Minister
Canadian Heritage
Government of Canada

Glen Laubenstein
Chief Administrative Officer
City of Winnipeg

Yvon Leblanc
Deputy Minister
Justice and Consumer Affairs
Government of New Brunswick

Don Leitch
Former Deputy Minister
Government of British Columbia
Former Clerk
Government of Manitoba

Louise Lemon
Deputy Minister
Supply and Services Agency
Government of New Brunswick

G. Sandy MacDonald
Deputy Minister
Education and Early Childhood Development
Government of Prince Edward Island

Carolyn MacKay
Deputy Minister
Human Resources
Government of New Brunswick

Peter Ma
Deputy Minister
Finance
Government of Nunavut

3 Roles and titles effective date of interview
Kevin Malloy
Deputy Minister
Service Nova Scotia and Municipal Relations
Government of Nova Scotia

Brian Manning
Deputy Minister of Executive Council
Government of Alberta

Francine Martel-Vaillancourt
Deputy Minister
Revenue Québec
Gouvernement du Québec

Michael Mayne
Deputy Minister Innovation and Advanced Learning
Government of Prince Edward Island

Jessica McDonald
Former Deputy Minister to the Premier
Government of British Columbia

Ron McKerlie
Deputy Minister
Government Services
Government of Ontario

Margaret Melhorn
Deputy Minister Finance
Government of the Northwest Territories

Matthew Mendelsohn
Director
Mowat Institute

Luc Meunier
Président
Commission de la Santé et de la Sécurité du travail du Québec
Gouvernement du Québec

Doug Moen
Deputy Minister to the Premier
Government of Saskatchewan

Janet Moodie
Deputy Minister of Executive Council and Cabinet Secretary
Government of the Yukon

Jeff O’Farrell
Deputy Minister Community Services
Government of the Yukon

Alastair O'Reilly
Deputy Minister Fisheries and Aquaculture
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador

Yves Ouellet
Secrétaire générale associé, Secrétariat aux priorités et aux projets spéciaux
Gouvernement du Québec

Stephen Owen
Vice President
University of British Columbia

Jeff Parr
Deputy Minister Labour and Immigration
Government of Manitoba

Larry Pedersen
Deputy Minister Agriculture and Lands
Government of British Columbia

Liz Quaschir
Deputy Minister Environment
Government of Saskatchewan

Ken Rasmussen
Associate Dean and Assistant Director
Johnson-Shoyama Graduate School of Public Policy
University of Regina

Heather Reichert
Deputy Minister Advanced Education and Literacy
Government of Manitoba

Angus Robertson
Deputy Minister Energy, Mines and Resources
Government of the Yukon

Dominique Savoie
Deputy Minister Ministère de l’Emploi et de la Solidarité sociale
Gouvernement du Québec

Jeffrey Schnoor
Deputy Minister Justice
Government of Manitoba

Allan Seckel
Deputy Minister to the Premier and Cabinet Secretary
Government of British Columbia

Gabriel Sekaly
Chief Executive Officer
Institute of Public Administration Canada

Milton Sussman
Deputy Minister Health
Government of Manitoba

Geneviève Tanguay
Sous-ministre adjointe – recherche, de l’Innovation, de la science et société
Ministère du Développement économique, l’Innovation et l’Exportation
Gouvernement du Québec

Paul Theriault
Vice President
Human Resources
New Brunswick Power

Ian Thompson
Deputy Minister Economic and Rural Development and Tourism
Government of Nova Scotia

Robert Thompson
Clerk of the Executive Council and Secretary to Cabinet
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador

Owen Tobert
City Manager
City of Calgary

Annette Trimbee
Deputy Minister Advanced Education and Technology
Government of Alberta

Francois Turenne
Deputy Minister International Relations
Gouvernement du Québec

Marian Tyson
Deputy Minister Justice
Government of Nova Scotia

Peter Underwood
Deputy Minister Natural Resources
Government of Nova Scotia

Paul Vogt
Clerk of Executive Council and Cabinet Secretary
Government of Manitoba

Ed Walsh
Chair
Public Service Commission
Government of Newfoundland and Labrador

Peter Wallace
Deputy Minister of Finance and Secretary of the Treasury Board
Government of Ontario

Lori Wanamaker
Deputy Minister Tourism, Culture and Arts
Gouvernement du Québec

Peter Watson
Deputy Minister Alberta Energy
Government of Alberta

Markus Weber
Deputy Minister of Executive Council
Government of Nunavut

Stuart Whitley
Deputy Minister Health and Social Services
Government of the Yukon

Tim Wiles
Deputy Minister Finance and Enterprise
Government of Alberta

Don Wincherauk
Chair
Public Service Commission
Government of Saskatchewan

Wayne Wouters
Clerk of the Privy Council and Secretary to Cabinet
Government of Canada

Glenda Yeates
Deputy Minister Health
Government of Canada

Neil Yeates
Deputy Minister Citizenship and Immigration
Government of Canada

Marian Zerr
Deputy Minister Social Services
Government of Saskatchewan
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